

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,672	08/05/2003	Masaaki Miyamoto	240086US0CONT	5317	
22850	7590 06/27/2005		EXAM	INER	
OBLON, S	•	ND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	BOYKIN, TERRESSA M		
ALEXANDRIA. VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		

1711 DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						h i		
		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)		- h		
A 221		10/633	672	MIYAMOTO ET A	L.			
Offic	ce Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit				
			a M. Boykin	1711				
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	ldress			
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for refine a fine of the fine of the failure to reply with any reply received.	D STATUTORY PERIOD IN DATE OF THIS COMMUNE may be available under the provision ITHS from the mailing date of this comply specified above is less than thirty (sply is specified above, the maximum so thin the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months an adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply tatutory minimum of thirty (3 will expire SIX (6) MONTH pplication to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timel S from the mailing date of this conditions DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		- Company of the Comp		
Status								
1)⊠ Resnons	sive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>28 March 20</i> 0	5					
2a)⊠ This acti	, ,	2b) ☐ This action is	_					
<i>,</i> —		<i>,</i> —		s prosecution as to the	merits is			
	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is losed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Cla	aims							
4)⊠ Claim(s)	1-49 is/are pending in the	application.						
	e above claim(s) is/a	• •	consideration.					
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s)	1-49 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.							
· <u> </u>	are subject to restri	ction and/or election	requirement.					
Application Pape	rs							
9)∐ The spec	ification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
10)☐ The draw	ring(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or I	b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
	may not request that any obje	· ·	•					
	nent drawing sheet(s) includin		•	• •	FR 1.121(d).			
	or declaration is objected t				, ,			
Priority under 35		•						
_	•	for forcian priority	ndor 2E II C.O. C.4:	10(a) (d) a= (9		ļ		
a)⊠ All b	edgment is made of a claim Some * c) None of:	· · · · · ·	_	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
	ertified copies of the priority			P4' NO				
_	ertified copies of the priority				0.			
	pies of the certified copies	•		ceived in this National	Stage			
-	plication from the Internation	•	` ''	a a to called				
" See the at	tached detailed Office action	on for a list of the cei	not rec	ceived.				
0#20hm==4/=)								
Attachment(s) I)	aces Cited (PTO 902)		4)	man. (DTC 442)				
	ices Cited (PTO-692) erson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date				
3) 🛛 Information Discl	osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		5) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail	Date <u>2-10-05</u> .		6)					

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments filed 3-28-05 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Examiner's position regarding the limitations of amended claim 1 not being present in the previously presented claims 14, 26 and 38 were regrettably misunderstood.

The limitations in claim 1 in which the Examiner was referring to are with regard to structural units (4) and (5) which are not present in claims 14, 26 and 38.

Consequently, the claimed invention continues to not be deemed as novel and accordingly is unpatentable.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,672

Art Unit: 1711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14, 26 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **USP 5948876** see cols. 1-3, 5, table I, II and III and examples; or USP 6262210 see cols. 23, 24.

Applicants' invention is directed to a branched aromatic polycarbonate obtained by transesterification and having a viscosity average molecular weight of at least 16,000, wherein the amount of structural units of the following formula (1) contained in its main chain is within a range of from 2,000 to 50,000 wtppm, and the amounts of structural units of the following formulae (2) and (3) contained in its main chain are within a range of from 30 to 10,000 wtppm, respectively:

wherein X is a single bond, a C ₁₋₈ alkylene group, a C ₂₋₈ alkylidene group, a C ₅₋₁₅ cycloalkylene group, a C ₅₋₁₅ cycloalkylidene group or a member selected from bivalent groups represented by --O--, --S--, --CO--, --SO-- and --SO2--, 15 wherein X is a single bond, a C.sub.1-8 alkylene group, a C₂₋₈ alkyldene group, a C.sub.5-15 cycloalkylene group, a C₅₋₁₅ cycloalkylidene group or a member selected from bivalent groups represented by --O--, --S--, --CO--, --SO-- and --SO₂--.

USP 5948876 discloses branched polycarbonates are prepared by the reaction of a linear or branched polycarbonate with a branching resin having a molecular weight up to about 3,000, typically a novolak or a bisphenol polymer. The reaction preferably takes place in the presence of a carbonate equilibration catalyst such as a quaternary bisphenolate. The method comprises contacting linear or branched aromatic polycarbonate reagent with branching resin in form of polyphenol, having a molecular weight up to 3000.

Typical bisphenol polymers comprise structural units of the formulas:

wherein Rr is an alkylene radical or oxygen.

Typical of some of the dihydric phenols that are advantageously employed are bisphenols such as bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)methane, 2,2-bis(4-hydroxy-3-methylphenyl)propane, 4,4-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)heptane, 2,2-bis(4-hydroxy-3,5-dichlorophenyl)propane and 2,2-bis(4-hydroxy-3,5-dibromophenyl)propane dihydric phenol ethers such as 4-hydroxyphenyl ether and 3,5-dichloro-4-hydroxyphenyl ether; dihydroxybiphenyls such as 3,3'-dichloro-4,4'-dihydorxybiphenyl; dihydroxyaryl sulfones such as bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)sulfone and bis(3,5-dimethyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)sulfone; dihydroxybenzenes, such as resorcinol and hydroquinone; halo- and alkyl-substituted dihydroxybenzenes, such as 1,4-dihydroxy-2,5-dichlorobenzene and 1,4-dihydroxy-3-methylbenzene; and dihydroxydiphenyl sulfides and sulfoxides such as 4-hydroxyphenyl sulfide and bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)sulfoxide. A variety of additional dihydric phenols are also disclosed herein.

The method as disclosed by the reference allows production of blow moldable branched polycarbonate resins with desired degree of branching and molecular weight. The resins have enhanced melt strength and elasticity. The method comprises contacting linear or branched aromatic polycarbonate reagent with branching resin in form of polyphenol, having a molecular weight up to 3000. Useful equilibration catalysts, employable singly or in combination, include basic catalysts conventionally employed in transesterification reactions. Representative of such catalysts are oxides.

hydroxides, hydroxides and amides of alkali or alkaline earth metals, such as lithium hydroxide; basic metal oxides such as zinc oxide; salts of weak acids such as lithium stearate; organotin catalysts such as dibutyltin oxide; aluminum or boron anion containing catalysts. It is generally found convenient to initially form an alcoholic mixture of bisphenol and alkali metal hydroxide, whereupon the bisphenol dissolves as the alkali metal salt, and to add thereto an aqueous-alcoholic solution of the quaternary salt. Another alternative is to combine the bisphenol and quaternary salt and gradually add aqueous alkali metal hydroxide solution thereto. In the water-alkanol embodiment, ambient temperatures in the range of about 20 - 30 C are generally preferred.

USP 6262210 discloses a process for producing aromatic carbonates, which comprises transesterifying, in the presence of a metal-containing catalyst, a starting material selected from a dialkyl carbonate, an alkyl aryl carbonate and a mixture thereof with a reactant selected from an aromatic monohydroxy compound, an alkyl aryl carbonate and a mixture thereof, characterized in that: at least one type of catalyst-containing liquid is taken out, wherein the catalyst-containing liquid is selected from a portion of a high boiling point reaction mixture obtained by the above transesterification and containing the desired aromatic carbonate and the metal-containing catalyst, and a portion of a liquid catalyst fraction obtained by separating the desired aromatic carbonate from the high boiling point reaction mixture, wherein each portion contains (A) high boiling point substance having a boiling point higher than the boiling point of the produced aromatic carbonate and (B) the metal-containing catalyst; (C) a functional substance capable of reacting with at least one component selected from high boiling point substance (A) and metal-containing catalyst (B) is added to the taken-out catalyst-containing liquid; and the (B)/(C) reaction product is recycled to the reaction system, while withdrawing the (A)/(C) reaction product. By the process of the present invention, the desired aromatic carbonates having high purity can be produced stably for a prolonged period of time.

In view of the above, there appears to be no significant difference between the references and that which is claimed by applicant(s). Any differences not specifically mentioned appear to be conventional. Consequently, the claimed invention

Application/Control Number: 10/633,672 Page 8

Art Unit: 1711

cannot be deemed as novel and accordingly is unpatentable.

Obviousness Double Patenting

Claims 1-49 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of copending Application No. 10813042. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both applications discloseds an aromatic polycarbonate having the same structural formula moieties and identical viscosity average molecular weights.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Terressa Boykin whose telephone number is 571 272-1069. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30am to 3:00pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. The general information number for listings of personnel is (571-272-1700).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tmb

Examiner Terressa Boykin

enessa Boyken

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1711